VS.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Richard Garcia and Jerilynn Garcia, No. CV-24-02406-PHX-KML

Plaintiffs, CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

Frontier Airlines, Inc., a Colorado corporation for profit,

Defendant.

Having reviewed the Parties' Joint Rule 26(f) report (Doc. []), the Court concludes it is unnecessary to hold a Rule 16 Case Management Conference and the Court enters the following Case Management order.

- 1. <u>Initial Disclosures</u>. The deadline for making the initial disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) is **Wednesday**, **October 30**, **2024**.
- 2. <u>Deadline for Joinder, Amending Pleadings, and Filing Supplemental Pleadings</u>. The deadline for joining parties, amending pleadings, and filing supplemental pleadings is **Monday, December 16, 2024**.
- 3. <u>Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) Non-Waiver Order</u>. The Court orders that a communication or information covered by the attorney-client privilege or work-product protection that is disclosed in connection with the litigation pending before the Court does not waive the privilege or protection in this or any other federal or state proceeding. This

9

7

12

13

1415

1617

18

19 20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

provision does not require any party agreement, and it avoids the need to litigate whether an inadvertent production was reasonable. By reducing the risk of waiver, this order affords parties the opportunity to reduce the cost of discovery by reducing pre-production privilege review.

- 4. <u>Discovery Limitations</u>. Depositions shall be limited to seven hours each, as provided in Rule 30(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. A party may serve on any other party up to 25 interrogatories, including subparts, 25 requests for production of documents, including subparts, and 25 requests for admissions, including subparts. Each interrogatory or request seeking information not logically or factually subsumed within and necessarily related to the primary request will count as a separate request. The limitations set forth in this paragraph may be increased by mutual agreement of the parties, but such an increase will not result in an extension of the discovery deadlines set forth in this order.
- 5. <u>Fact Discovery</u>. The deadline for completion of fact discovery, including discovery by subpoena and all disclosures required under Rule 26(a)(3), shall be **Monday**, **July 7**, **2025**. To ensure compliance with this deadline, the following rules shall apply.
 - a. Depositions: All depositions shall be scheduled to start at least five working days before the discovery deadline. A deposition started five days before the deadline may continue up until the deadline, as necessary.
 - b. Written Discovery: All interrogatories, requests for production of documents, and requests for admissions shall be served at least **Monday**, **May 26, 2025**.
 - c. The parties may mutually agree in writing, without Court approval, to extend the time for providing discovery in response to requests under Rules 33, 34, and 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Such agreed-upon extensions, however, shall not alter or extend the deadlines set forth in this order.
 - d. Notwithstanding any provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, non-party witnesses shall **not** be permitted to attend (either physically, electronically, or otherwise) the deposition of any other witness in this case without an order of this Court to the contrary.

- 6. <u>Expert Disclosures, Expert Discovery, and Motions Challenging Expert Testimony.</u>
 - a. The party with the burden of proof on an issue shall provide full and complete expert disclosures, as required by Rule 26(a)(2)(A)-(C) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, no later than **Monday, April 7, 2025**.
 - b. The responding party (not having the burden of proof on the issue) shall provide full and complete expert disclosures, as required by Rule 26(a)(2)(A)-(C) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, no later than **Monday**, **May 5**, **2025**.
 - c. The party with the burden of proof on the issue shall make its rebuttal expert disclosures, if any, no later than **Monday**, **June 2**, **2025**. Rebuttal experts shall be limited to responding to opinions stated by the opposing party's experts.
 - d. No depositions of any expert witnesses shall occur before the aforementioned disclosures concerning expert witnesses are made.
 - e. Expert depositions shall be completed no later than **Monday**, **July 7**, **2025**. All expert depositions shall be scheduled to commence at least five working days before this deadline.
 - f. Disclosures under Rule 26(a)(2)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure must include the identities of treating physicians and other witnesses who will provide testimony under Federal Rules of Evidence 702, 703, or 705, but who are not required to provide expert reports under Rule 26(a)(2)(B). Rule 26(a)(2)(C) disclosures are required for such witnesses on the dates set forth above. Rule 26(a)(2)(C) disclosures must identify not only the subjects on which the witness will testify, but must also provide a summary of the facts and opinions to which the witness will testify. The summary, although not as detailed as a Rule 26(a)(2)(B) report, must be sufficiently detailed to provide fair notice of what the witness will say at trial.
 - g. As stated in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (1993 amendment), expert reports under Rule 26(a)(2)(B) must set forth "the testimony the witness is expected to present during direct examination, together

with the reasons therefor." Full and complete disclosures of such testimony are required on the dates set forth above. Absent extraordinary circumstances, parties will not be permitted to supplement expert reports after these dates. The Court notes, however, that it usually permits parties to present opinions of their experts that were elicited by opposing counsel during depositions of the experts. Counsel should depose experts with this fact in mind.

- h. Each side shall be limited to one retained or specifically-employed expert witness per issue.
- i. An untimely-disclosed expert will not be permitted to testify unless the party offering the witness demonstrates that (a) the necessity of the expert witness could not have been reasonably anticipated at the time of the disclosure deadline, (b) the opposing counsel or unrepresented parties were promptly notified upon discovery of the need for the expert witness, and (c) the expert witness was promptly proffered for deposition. *See Wong v. Regents of Univ. of Cal.*, 410 F.3d 1052, 1060 (9th Cir. 2005).
- j. Pursuant to Rule 26(e)(1), any additions or other changes to information previously disclosed must be made prior to the close of discovery. This Court requires all Rule 26(a)(3) pretrial disclosures to be contained in the Joint Proposed Pretrial Order. Therefore, all exhibits and witnesses that may be offered at trial must be disclosed before the close of discovery as established by this order. This order supersedes the "thirty-day before trial" disclosure deadline contained in that Rule. Therefore (1) failure to have timely supplemented a Rule 26(a) disclosure, (2) failure to have timely supplemented responses to discovery requests, or (3) attempting to include any witnesses or exhibits in the Joint Proposed Pretrial Order that were not previously disclosed prior to the discovery deadline set forth in this order may result in the exclusion of such evidence at trial or the imposition of other sanctions.
- k. Any Rule 35 physical or mental examination must be noticed **Monday, April 6**,2025.

27

28

 Motions challenging the admissibility of expert testimony are disfavored prior to trial preparation. Such motions will be due on the same date as the parties' Joint Proposed Pretrial Order.

7. Discovery Disputes.

- a. The parties shall not file written discovery motions without leave of the Court. Except during a deposition, if a discovery dispute arises and cannot be resolved despite sincere efforts to resolve the matter through personal consultation (in person or by telephone), the parties shall jointly file (1) a brief written summary of the dispute, not to exceed two pages per party, explaining the position taken by each party, (2) a joint written certification that counsel or the parties have attempted to resolve the matter through personal consultation and sincere efforts as required by Local Rule 7.2(j) and have reached an impasse, and (3) copies of the contested discovery requests (e.g., copies of the interrogatories and responses). The discovery dispute summary shall adhere to the formatting requirements of Local Rule 7.1(b)(1). Discovery dispute filings that do not conform to the procedures outlined in this paragraph, including the page limitation, may be summarily stricken. If the opposing party has refused to personally consult or cooperate in the filing of the joint statement, the party seeking relief shall describe the efforts made to obtain cooperation. Upon review of the written submission, the Court may set a telephonic conference, order written briefing, or decide the dispute without conference or briefing. Any briefing ordered by the Court shall also comply with Local Rule 7.2(j).
- b. If a discovery dispute arises during a deposition and requires an immediate ruling of the Court, the parties shall email Lanham_chambers@azd.uscourts.gov to request a telephone conference regarding the dispute. The Court strongly disfavors such requests: except in extraordinary circumstances, objections should instead be timely raised and the deponent should answer subject to the objections. If the parties submit such a dispute to the Court, the deposition must proceed on other topics or questions while the parties await the Court's response.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

c. Absent extraordinary circumstances, the Court will not entertain fact discovery disputes after the deadline for completion of fact discovery and will not entertain expert discovery disputes after the deadline for completion of expert discovery. Delay in presenting discovery disputes for resolution is not a basis for extending discovery deadlines.

8. <u>Dispositive Motions.</u>

- a. Dispositive motions shall be filed no later than **Thursday**, **August 7**, **2025**.
- b. No party shall file more than one motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure without leave of the Court.
- c. Local Rule of Civil Procedure 56.1 is suspended, except for subsection (d). The Court will decide summary judgment motions under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 only. In other words, the parties may not file separate statements of facts or separate controverting statements of facts, and instead must include all facts in the motion, response, or reply itself. All factual statements must be followed by a specific citation to the supporting exhibit, including a pincite. All evidence to support a motion or response must be attached to the briefs. The evidence may include only relevant excerpts rather than full documents. The only evidence that may be attached to a reply is evidence intended to rebut arguments raised for the first time in the non-movant's response. Because no separate controverting statement of facts will be permitted, the responding party must carefully address all material facts raised in the motion. Likewise, the reply must carefully address all material facts raised in the response. Any fact that is ignored may be deemed uncontested. Procedurally, immediately following the motion, response, or reply should be a numerical table of contents for the exhibits. The table of contents shall include only a title for each exhibit, not a description. Following the table of contents should be each exhibit, numbered individually. By way of example, citations to exhibits attached to the motion, response, or reply would be "(Ex. 1 at 7)" or "(Ex. 5 at 3)."

1

5

6

7 8

- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- d. A party desiring oral argument on any motion shall place the words "Oral Argument" Requested" immediately below the title of the motion pursuant to Local Rule 7.2(f). The Court may decline the request and decide the motion without holding oral argument. If the request is granted, the Court will issue an order setting the argument date and time.
- 9. Motions for Attorneys' Fees. All motions for an award of attorneys' fees shall be accompanied by an electronic Microsoft Excel spreadsheet, to be emailed to the Court and opposing counsel, containing an itemized statement of legal services with all information required by Local Rule 54.2(e)(1). This spreadsheet shall be organized with rows and columns and shall automatically total the amount of fees requested to enable the Court to efficiently review and recompute, if needed, the total amount of any award after disallowing any individual billing entries. This spreadsheet does not relieve the moving party of its burden under Local Rule 54.2(d) to attach all necessary supporting documentation to its motion. A party opposing a motion for attorneys' fees shall email to the Court and opposing counsel a copy of the moving party's spreadsheet, adding any objections to each contested billing entry (next to each row, in an additional column) to enable the Court to efficiently review the objections. This spreadsheet does not relieve the non-moving party of the requirements of Local Rule 54.2(f) concerning its responsive memorandum.
- 10. Good Faith Settlement Talks. All parties and their counsel shall meet in person and engage in good faith settlement talks no later than Monday, June 9, 2025. Upon completion of such settlement talks, and in no event later than five working days after the deadline set forth in the preceding sentence, the parties shall file with the Court a joint report on settlement talks executed by or on behalf of all counsel. The report shall inform the Court that good faith settlement talks have been held and shall report on the outcome of such talks. The parties shall indicate whether assistance from the Court is needed in seeking settlement of the case. The Court will set a settlement conference before a magistrate judge upon request of all parties. The parties are reminded that they are

encouraged to discuss settlement at all times during the pendency of the litigation, but the Court will not extend the case management deadlines if and when the parties elect to pursue settlement efforts, including a settlement conference before a magistrate judge. The parties should plan their settlement efforts accordingly. The parties shall promptly notify the Court if settlement is reached.

11. Briefing Requirements.

- a. All memoranda filed with the Court shall comply with Local Rule 7.1(b) requiring 13-point font in text and footnotes.
- b. Citations in support of any assertion in the text shall be included in the text, not in footnotes.
- c. To ensure timely case processing, a party moving for an extension of time, enlargement of page limitations, leave to amend, or leave to file a document under seal shall indicate in the motion whether the non-movant opposes the request and intends to file a written response. If such a motion does not so indicate, it may be denied for failure to comply with this order.
- Deadline for Notice of Readiness for Trial. The plaintiff(s) shall notify the Court that the parties are ready to proceed to trial. The plaintiff(s) shall file and serve this notice within Monday, May 12, 2025. after the dispositive motion deadline if no dispositive motions are pending on that date. If dispositive motions are pending, the plaintiff(s) shall file and serve such notice within seven days after the resolution of the dispositive motions. The Court will then issue an order identifying a window of time when the Court is available for trial and instructing the parties to propose dates within this window when all parties, counsel, and witnesses are available to begin trial. The Court will then issue an order setting a firm trial date and date for the final pretrial conference that (a) sets deadlines for briefing motions in limine and *Daubert* motions, (b) includes a form for the completion of the parties' joint proposed pretrial order, and (c) otherwise instructs the parties concerning their duties in preparing for the final pretrial conference.

- 13. <u>Dismissal for Failure to Meet Deadlines</u>. The parties are warned that failure to meet any of the deadlines in this order or in the Federal or Local Rules of Civil Procedure without substantial justification may result in sanctions, including dismissal of the action or entry of default.
- 14. Requirement for Paper Courtesy Copies. A paper courtesy copy of dispositive motions (or other lengthy motions that will be opposed) and any responses or replies thereto shall be either postmarked and mailed to the judge or hand-delivered to the judge's mailbox in the courthouse by the next business day after the electronic filing. Do not attempt to deliver documents to the judge's chambers. Courtesy copies should be double-sided and include the ECF-generated header at the top of each page. Courtesy copies of documents too large for stapling must be submitted in three-ring binders.

DATED this _____ day of October, 2024.